- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Universities of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Martinez-Ripoll, M. & Cano, F. H. (1975). PESOS. Instituto Rocasolano CSIC, Madrid, Spain.

Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.

- Rogers, R. D. & Bond, A. H. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1782-1785.
- Stewart, J. M. (1976). Editor. The XRAY System of Crystallographic Programs. Version of 1980. Technical Report TR-446. Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- Tiekink, E. R. T., Hundal, M. S., Hundal, G., Kapoor, P. & Poonia, N. S. (1990). Z. Kristallogr. 192, 103–109.
- Ward, D. L., Popov, A. I. & Poonia, N. S. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1183–1186.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 789-792

## Bis(triethanolamine)calcium 3,5-Dinitrobenzoate

Geeta Hundal (née Sood), <sup>a</sup>† Martin Martinez-Ripoll, <sup>a</sup> Maninder Singh Hundal<sup>b</sup> and Narinder Singh Poonia<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Cristalografia, Instituto de Quimica Fisica, Rocasolano, CSIC Serrano 119, E-28006 Madrid, Spain, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143 005, India, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Devi Ahilya University, Indore 452 001, India. E-mail: xgeeta@roca.csic.es

(Received 15 June 1995; accepted 16 October 1995)

#### Abstract

In the title complex,  $[Ca(C_6H_{15}NO_3)_2](C_7H_3N_2O_6)_2$ , the  $Ca^{2+}$  ion is sandwiched between two triethanolamine ligands (IUPAC nomenclature: 2,2',2"-nitrilotriethanol), each of them coordinating through all four potential donor sites. The coordination polyhedron is distorted cubic. The 3,5-dinitrobenzoate counteranions do not interact directly with the cation but are hydrogen bonded to the hydroxy groups of the triethanolamine ligands.

## Comment

In the complexes of s-block metal ions having a general formula M(anion)(ligand)(solvent), where the ligand is a neutral molecule having O or N as donor atom, the structure depends on whether or not the ligand has a polar or polarizable H atom (Poonia & Bajaj, 1979; Fenton, 1987). Important ligands in the

latter category are 1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2'-bipyridine, etc. These ligands only interact with the cation and do not interact with the counteranion through hydrogen bonding. The neutral ligands possessing polar or polarizable H atoms include hydroxy compounds and amines. Important examples include glycols, ethylenediamine, triethanolamine, etc. These ligands usually interact with the cation and are hydrogen bonded with the counteranion through polar H atoms. In the complexes of such ligands with s-block metals, the counteranion can interact with the complexed cation or can be excluded from the coordination sphere around the cation to give complexes where the counteranion is not directly interacting with the cation. The counteranion of the title compound is 3,5-dinitrobenzoate (DNB), which exhibits a wide range of coordination modes. In Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes. DNB has been found to act as a bridging bidentate, chelating bidentate and bridging tridentate ligand (Hundal, Martinez-Ripoll, Hundal & Poonia, 1995; Cradwick & Poonia, 1977; Kanters, Harder & Poonia, 1987). The structure of the title compound. (I), has been solved to determine the behaviour of the neutral ligand triethanolamine (TEA) and to determine the nature of the interaction of the counteranion 3,5dinitrobenzoate with the cation and to compare the behaviour of the counteranion with that of 2,4-dinitrophenolate (DNP). Thermogravimetric analysis of the compound revealed that the solvent was not present in the final crystalline phase.



The crystal structure is shown in Fig. 1. The Ca atom is eightfold coordinated by two triethanolamine ligands. The TEA ligands use all four potential donor atoms, i.e. three O and one N atom. The coordination around Ca can be classified as distorted cubic, as can be seen from Fig. 2. The Ca-O distances are in the range 2.393 (7)-2.454 (8) Å and the Ca-N distances are 2.708 (5) and 2.730 (5) Å. The Ca-N bond distances are slightly longer than that observed in Ca(TEA)(DNP)<sub>2</sub> [2.600 (7) Å; Hundal *et al.*, 1995]. In the other complexes of TEA with NaI (Voegele, Fischer & Weiss, 1974a), Sr(nitrate)<sub>2</sub> (Voegele, Fischer & Weiss, 1974b), Ba(acetate)<sub>2</sub> (Voegele, Thierry & Weiss, 1974), Ba(DNP)<sub>2</sub> (Kanters, Smeets, Venkatasubramanian & Poonia, 1984), and Ca(DNP)<sub>2</sub> (Hundal et al., 1995), the TEA ligand normally has an extended conformation, due to the presence of at least three almost planar C-N-C-C fragments, and a gauche conformation of the terminal OH group with respect to the

<sup>†</sup> Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143 005, India.

C—N bonds. In the present complex, one TEA ligand [referred to as TEA(C) in the text] fulfills the above conditions (torsion angles are in the range given in the literature) and is considered to have an extended conformation, but the second [with all atoms having letters D in the label, referred to as TEA(D)] deviates significantly from this. The two ligands also differ from each other with respect to their bond distances. Bond distances in TEA(D) are in general shorter than those found in TEA(C). Mean C—O and C—N distances are 1.438 (9) and 1.476 (12) Å, respectively, in TEA(C) and 1.396 (10) and 1.416 (17) Å, respectively, in TEA(D).



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the final model showing the atomic labelling and hydrogen-bonding scheme. Non-H atoms are shown with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H-atom labels have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. The distorted cubic coordination around the  $Ca^{2+}$  ion.

Bond lengths and bond angles of the DNB counteranions are normal and comparable except for the carboxylate and O5—N2—O6 groups in both. Bond distances of the carboxylate group in DNB(B) are smaller than in DNB(A) and the reverse is true for the O5—N2—

O6 group. This might be due to the fact that the ---COO group of DNB(A) and O5-N2-O6 of DNB(B)are involved in hydrogen bonding, thus giving relatively longer bond lengths. Substitution does not influence the planarity of the phenyl rings, the average deviations being 0.009 (8) and 0.005 (8) Å for DNB(A) and DNB(B), respectively. In DNB(A) the carboxylate and the nitro groups attached at positions 3 and 5 form dihedral angles of 12.8 (6), 174.3 (4) and 5.2 (8)°, respectively, with the phenyl ring, while these angles are 16.9 (4), 176.0 (9) and  $11.4(6)^{\circ}$  in DNB(B). All the hydroxy H atoms of TEA are involved in extensive inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Table 3) with the DNB anions. O7C and O8D act as hydrogen-bond donors towards O2A and O1A of the carboxylate group of DNB(A) giving rise to two intramolecular hydrogen bonds. OlA also accepts an H atom from O9D. Both O8C and O9C donate H atoms to O6B. O7D forms another hydrogen bond with O5B. The hydrogen bonding decreases the nucleophilic character of the anion and, as a result, the tendency of the anion to interact with Ca<sup>2+</sup> is decreased and the anion is not involved in metal coordination. On the other hand, as was observed in our previous studies on Ca(TEA)(2,4-dinitrophenolate), an ion-paired complex is formed (Hundal et al., 1995) because the interaction between the highly nucleophilic chelating anion and the Ca2+ cation is very strong and hydrogen bonding is not strong enough to separate the cation from the anion. On the basis of this trend, we expect that in the Ca(picrate)<sub>2</sub>(TEA)<sub>2</sub> system the cation would not coordinate with the anion because the picrate anion is more resonance stabilized and less nucleophilic than 2,4dinitrophenolate and 3,5-dinitrobenzoate.

### **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared by adding triethanolamine to a solution of Ca(3,5-dinitrobenzoate) in 1:1 ratio, and crystallizing by slow evaporation. The density  $D_m$  was measured by flotation.

#### Crystal data

 $[Ca(C_{6}H_{15}NO_{3})_{2}]-(C_{7}H_{3}N_{2}O_{6})_{2}$   $M_{r} = 760.68$ Triclinic  $P\overline{1}$  a = 7.2894 (9) Å b = 14.221 (4) Å c = 17.324 (5) Å  $\alpha = 106.49 (3)^{\circ}$   $\beta = 99.47 (2)^{\circ}$   $\gamma = 93.37 (1)^{\circ}$   $V = 1687.9 (8) Å^{3}$  Z = 2  $D_{x} = 1.497 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_{m} = 1.487 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å Cell parameters from 41 reflections  $\theta = 8-20^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.263$  mm<sup>-1</sup> T = 293 K Prism  $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$  mm Light yellow

Data collection					C11 <i>C</i>	0.2188 (13)	-0.2690	(7) 0.1755 (7)	0.065 (5)
Philips PW1100 diffractom-		actom- 1	$R_{int} = 0.0269$		C12C	-0.1776(12)	-0.2231	$\begin{array}{ccc} (7) & 0.0994 \ (5) \\ (8) & 0.1207 \ (6) \end{array}$	0.059(4)
eter		ť	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.03^{\circ}$		07D	-0.2836(11) 0.1123(11)	-0.1375 -0.1066	(8) 0.1297 (6) (5) 0.3389 (4)	0.065(5) 0.088(4)
$\omega$ scans		ŀ	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$		O8D	0.4665 (7)	0.0372	(4) ().2554 (3)	0.000(4) 0.051(3)
Absorption correction:			$k = -16 \rightarrow 16$		09 <i>D</i>	0.0180 (7)	0.1226	(4) 0.2548 (4)	0.056 (3)
$\psi$ scan (North. Phillips			$l = 0 \rightarrow 20$		N3D CSD	0.2670 (8)	0.0890	(4) 0.3819 (4)	0.039 (3)
& Ma	athews, 1968)	. 2	2 standard reflections		C9D	0.241(2) 0.1127(16)	-0.0392	(10) 0.4411(7) (7) 0.4155(6)	0.117 (8)
$T_{\min}$ :	$= 0.945, T_{max}$	=	frequency: 90 min		CIOD	0.4580 (16)	0.1169	(11) $0.3911(7)$	0.139 (9)
1.000	)		intensity decay: 1%		C11D	0.5671 (12)	0.0757	(8) 0.3375 (6)	0.070 (5)
6052 m	easured reflect	tions	,		C12D	0.166 (3)	0.1726	(12) 0.3908 (8)	0.189 (11)
5964 in	dependent refl	ections			C13D	0.0/18 (13)	0.2001	(6) 0.3291 (6)	0.068 (5)
3828 ot	oserved reflect	ions			Ta	able 2. Sele	cted geom	etric parameters	(Å.°)
[F >	$2\sigma(F)$ ]				Ca-08C		2 393 (7)	068N28	1 263 (11)
					Ca—07C		2.400 (6)	N1B-C4B	1.487 (14)
Refinem	ient				Ca—O8D		2.409 (5)	N2B—C6B	1.551 (13)
Refinem	nent on F	(	$\Lambda/\sigma$ )max = 0.074	L	Ca09C		2.424 (6)	C1B - C2B	1.454 (13)
R = 0.0	62		$\Delta \rho_{max} = 1.301 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$		Ca09D		2.424 (6)	$C_{2B}$ $C_{3B}$ $C_{7B}$	1.378 (14)
wR = 0.002			$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.915 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$		Ca-N3C		2.730 (5)	C3B-C4B	1.350 (14)
S = 1.11	15	- F	tinction correction: none		Ca—N3D		2.708 (5)	C4B—C5B	1.401 (14)
3828 re	flections	-	Atomic scattering	factors	01A-C1/	4	1.255 (11)	C5B—C6B	1.391 (12)
460 par	ameters		from Internatio	nal Tables	$O_{2A} = C_{1A}$ $O_{3A} = N_{1A}$	4	1.231 (9)	07C - CB	1.387 (12)
H-atom	parameters no	ot	for X-ray Crys	tallography	04A—N1	4	1.203 (13)	08CC11C	1.421 (10)
refine	ed		(1974  Vol IV)		O5A—N2/	4	1.213 (14)	09C-C13C	1.459 (11)
Weighti	ng scheme: Pl	ESOS	(1),		O6A-N2/	4	1.206 (13)	N3C-C8C	1.470 (12)
(Mart	tinez-Ripoll &	Cano,			N1A-C4/	1	1.490 (11)	N3C = C10C N3C = C12C	1.476 (12)
1975)	)				CIA-C2A	1	1.526 (12)	C8CC9C	1.486 (12)
					C2A—C3A	١	1.376 (10)	C10C—C11C	1.468 (16)
Table	1. Fractional	atomic co	pordinates and	equivalent	C2A—C7A	1	1.381 (12)	C12C—C13C	1.495 (14)
	isotropic di	splacemen	t parameters (Ä	<sup>2</sup> )	C3A - C4A	1	1.394 (12)	07D-C9D 08D-C11D	1.349 (12)
	-				C5A-C6A	1	1.369 (12)	09DC13D	1.416 (10)
	$U_{eq} =$	= (1/3)と <sub>i</sub> と <sub>j</sub> i	$U_{ij}a_i^*a_j^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$		C6A-C7A	1	1.382 (12)	N3D—C10D	1.396 (14)
	x	У	z	$U_{ m eq}$	$O_{1B}$ $O_{1B}$ $O_{1B}$	3	1.203 (16)	N3D - C12D	1.42 (2)
Ca	0.1461 (2)	-0.0345 (1)	0.22833 (9)	0.0321 (7)	02B-01 03B-N1	, B	1.211 (16)	C8D - C9D	1.429 (17)
01A 02A	0.6693 (7)	0.1269(4) 0.1481(4)	0.1/43 (4)	0.056(3)	O4 <i>B</i> —N1 <i>I</i>	8	1.214 (18)	C10D-C11D	1.352 (16)
03A	1.2102 (10)	0.3777 (6)	0.2248 (5)	0.090 (4)	O5 <i>B</i> —N2 <i>I</i>	8	1.239 (12)	C12D—C13D	1.34 (2)
O4A	1.1626 (11)	0.5220 (6)	0.2193 (5)	0.105 (5)	О8 <i>С—</i> Са-	–07 <i>C</i>	97.0 (2)	C7A-C6A-N2A	118.9 (8)
O5A	0.3234 (14)	0.4696 (6)	0.0640 (5)	0.098 (5)	08 <i>C</i> —Ca-	08D	76.5 (2)	C2A-C7A-C6A	118.9 (7)
06A N1A	1 1086 (12)	0.5864 (6)	0.1064 (6)	0.111(6) 0.067(4)	08C	-09C -09D	110.9(2) 170.7(2)	O3B - NIB - O4B O3B - NIB - C4B	124 (1)
N2A	0.4845 (16)	0.5021 (7)	0.0954 (6)	0.075 (5)	08 <i>C</i> —Ca-	-07D 07D	71.2 (3)	$O_{3}B = N_{1}B = C_{4}B$ $O_{4}B = N_{1}B = C_{4}B$	119 (1)
CIA	0.5697 (11)	0.1750 (6)	0.1367 (5)	0.042 (4)	07 <i>C</i> Ca-		76.6 (2)	O5B—N2B—O6B	127.5 (9)
C2A	0.6526 (10)	0.2772 (5)	0.1396 (4)	0.039 (3)	07 <i>C</i> —Ca-	09C	105.2 (2)	O5 <i>B</i> —N2 <i>B</i> —C6 <i>B</i>	116.7 (8)
C3A C4A	0.8406 (11)	0.3073 (6)	0.1683(5) 0.1732(5)	0.045(4) 0.050(4)	07CCa-	-09D -07D	83.5 (2)	O6B - N2B - C6B O2B - C1B - O1B	115.8 (8)
C5A	0.7943 (14)	0.4693 (6)	0.1511 (5)	0.057 (5)	07C	-01D 09C	171.9 (2)	O2B - C1B - C1B O2B - C1B - C2B	125 (1)
C6A	0.6097 (12)	0.4344 (6)	0.1208 (5)	0.049 (4)	O8D—Ca-		94.6 (2)	O1 <i>B</i> —C1 <i>B</i> —C2 <i>B</i>	119 (1)
C7A	0.5357(11)	0.3402 (6)	0.1143 (5)	0.049 (4)	08 <i>D</i> —Ca-	07 <i>D</i>	106.7 (2)	C3B-C2B-C7B	122.7 (9)
O1B O2B	0.9144(12) 0.8372(10)	0.4041 (8)	0.5464(7) 0.5333(5)	0.131(6) 0.093(5)	09C-Ca-	-09D -07D	73.5 (3)	$C_{3B} - C_{2B} - C_{1B}$	118(1)
O3 <i>B</i>	0.4479 (15)	0.6292 (6)	0.6000 (6)	0.121 (6)	09D-Ca-	-07D	109.4 (2)	C4B-C3B-C2B	117 (1)
O4 <i>B</i>	0.2284 (18)	0.5952 (6)	0.6596 (6)	0.126 (7)	09 <i>D</i> —Ca-	-N3 <i>D</i>	65.5 (2)	C3B—C4B—C5B	124.5 (9)
05B	0.1224 (9)	0.2712 (5)	0.6931 (4)	0.073(4)	08D—Ca-	-N3D	65.5 (2)	C3B-C4B-N1B	119 (1)
N1B	0.3656 (18)	0.5755 (6)	0.6871(4) 0.6294(5)	0.080(3) 0.087(6)	N3C-Ca-	N3D N3D	166.6 (2)	$C_{3B}$ $-C_{4B}$ $-N_{1B}$ $C_{6B}$ $-C_{5B}$ $-C_{4B}$	116 (1)
N2B	0.2803 (13)	0.2507 (6)	0.6811 (5)	0.073 (5)	N3C—Ca-	09D	123.5 (2)	C7B-C6B-C5B	121.3 (8)
C1 <i>B</i>	0.8122 (12)	0.3397 (9)	0.5549 (6)	0.056 (5)	N3C-Ca-	08D	120.1 (2)	C7 <i>B</i> —C6 <i>B</i> —N2 <i>B</i>	121.1 (8)
C2B	0.6489 (12)	0.3655 (7)	0.5911 (5)	0.055 (4)	N3C-Ca-	07 <i>D</i>	101.7 (2)	C5B—C6B—N2B	117.6 (8)
C4B	0.3920(14) 0.4354(15)	0.4575 (7)	0.3949 (0)	0.001(5) 0.060(5)	090-Ca- 090-Ca-	-N3C	67.3 (2)	$C0B \rightarrow C7B \rightarrow C2B$	118.2 (8)
C5B	0.3304 (13)	0.4144 (6)	0.6540 (5)	0.054 (4)	08 <i>C</i> Ca-	-N3D	107.5 (2)	C11 <i>C</i> —O8 <i>C</i> —Ca	117.3 (5)
C6B	0.3948 (11)	0.3233 (6)	0.6502 (5)	0.044 (4)	08 <i>C</i> —Ca-	-N3C	64.6 (2)	C13C—O9C—Ca	116.5 (5)
C7B 07C	0.5551 (11)	0.2980 (6)	0.6195 (5)	0.045 (4)	07C	-N3D	127.3 (2)	C8C - N3C - C12C	110.6 (6)
08C	0.3200 (8)	-0.1741(5)	0.2140(4)	0.055(3) 0.068(3)	04A-N14	-03A	123.2 (9)	$N_3C \rightarrow C_8C \rightarrow C_9C$	110.5 (7)
09 <i>C</i>	-0.1851 (8)	-0.0841 (5)	0.2125 (4)	0.069 (3)	04A—N1A		117.7 (9)	07 <i>C</i> C9 <i>C</i> C8 <i>C</i>	107.4 (7)
N3C	0.0144 (8)	-0.1909 (4)	0.0922 (4)	0.043 (3)	03AN1A	-C4A	119.1 (8)	C11C-C10C-N3C	111.6 (8)
C8C	0.0098 (12)	-0.1555(6)	0.0201 (5)	0.054 (4)	064-N24	1	124 (1)	U8C-C11C-C10C	106.5 (9)
C10C	0.1356 (14)	-0.2705(6)	0.0919 (6)	0.048 (4)	05A—N2A	-C6A	117.7 (9)	09C-C13C-C12C	106.4 (7)
					_				/

## $[Ca(C_6H_{15}NO_3)_2](C_7H_3N_2O_6)_2$

125.6 (8)	C9D-07D-Ca	120.9 (7)
116.7 (8)	C11D-08D-Ca	120.3 (5)
117.7 (6)	C13D-09D-Ca	123.7 (5)
120.2 (8)	C10D-N3D-C12D	111 (1)
120.7 (7)	C10D-N3D-C8D	109 (1)
119.1 (7)	C12D-N3D-C8D	112 (1)
117.8 (8)	C9D—C8D—N3D	118.3 (9)
124.0 (8)	07 <i>D</i> C9 <i>D</i> C8 <i>D</i>	114 (1)
118.7 (8)	C11D—C10D—N3D	125 (1)
117.3 (8)	C10DC11DO8D	113.0 (8)
115.6 (8)	C13DC12DN3D	125 (1)
123.4 (8)	C12D-C13DO9D	114.1 (9)
117.7 (8)		
N3C-C12C-C1	3C 159.5 (	7)
3C-C12C-C13	C -74.9 (	9)
3C-C10C-C11	C 149.5 (	8)
N3C-C8C-C9C	-79.4 (	9)
N3C-C8C-C9C	2 156.3 (	7)
N3C-C10C-C1	1C -86.1 (	9)
8C—C9C—O7C	-59.7 (	8)
10C-C11C-O8	C -57.7 (	10)
12C-C13C-09	<i>−</i> 63.8 (	9)
N3D-C12D-C	13D 99.6 (	17)
3D-C12D-C13	-139.5 (	15)
3D-C10D-C11	1D 109.0 (	14)
N3D-C8D-C9	D -136.7 (	12)
N3D-C8D-C91	D 101.3 (	13)
N3D-C10D-C	11D - 128.4 (	14)
8D-C9D-07D	37.1 (	15)
10D-C11D-O	BD 28.7 (	17)
12D-C13D-O	9D 23 (2)	)
	125.6 (8) 116.7 (8) 117.7 (6) 120.2 (8) 120.7 (7) 119.1 (7) 117.8 (8) 124.0 (8) 117.3 (8) 117.3 (8) 117.3 (8) 115.6 (8) 123.4 (8) 117.7 (8) V3C-C12C-C13 3C-C12C-C13 3C-C10C-C11 8C-C9C-07C 10C-C11C-08 12C-C13C-05 V3D-C12D-C13 3D-C10	125.6 (8) $C9D-O7D-Ca$ 116.7 (8) $C11D-O8D-Ca$ 117.7 (6) $C13D-O9D-Ca$ 120.2 (8) $C10D-N3D-C12D$ 120.7 (7) $C10D-N3D-C8D$ 119.1 (7) $C12D-N3D-C8D$ 117.8 (8) $C9D-C8D-N3D$ 117.8 (8) $C9D-C8D-N3D$ 117.8 (8) $C10D-C10D-N3D$ 117.3 (8) $C10D-C10D-N3D$ 117.3 (8) $C10D-C11D-O8D$ 115.6 (8) $C12D-C13D-O9D$ 117.7 (8)       V32C-C12C-C13C         V32C-C12C-C13C       159.5 (         3C-C12C-C13C       159.5 (         3C-C12C-C13C       -74.9 (         3C-C12C-C13C       -74.9 (         3C-C12C-C13C       -74.9 (         3C-C12C-C13C       -74.9 (         3C-C12C-C11C       -48.1 (         80C-C9C       -56.3 (         80C-C12D-C13D       -99.6 (         3D-C10D-C11D       -090.0 (         3D-C12D-C13D       -63.8 (         3D-C12D-C13D       -913.6 (         3D-C12D-C13D       -136.7 (         3D-C10D-C11D       109.0 (         N3D-C8D-C9D       -136.7 (         N3

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
1.89	2.661 (9)	155
1.66	2.695 (9)	151
1.78	2.704 (8)	163
1.60	2.68 (1)	166
1.72	2.83 (1)	164
1.73	2.684 (8)	159
	HA 1.89 1.66 1.78 1.60 1.72 1.73	$\begin{array}{cccc} H \cdots A & D \cdots A \\ 1.89 & 2.661 & (9) \\ 1.66 & 2.695 & (9) \\ 1.78 & 2.704 & (8) \\ 1.60 & 2.68 & (1) \\ 1.72 & 2.83 & (1) \\ 1.73 & 2.684 & (8) \end{array}$

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) -x, -y, 1 - z; (iii) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z.

All H atoms were fixed geometrically except for the hydroxy H atoms which were located from a difference Fourier map. Weights were applied empirically so as to give no trends in  $\langle w\Delta^2 F \rangle$  versus  $\langle F_o \rangle$  or  $\langle \sin\theta/\lambda \rangle$ , using PESOS (Martinez-Ripoll & Cano, 1975).

Data collection: Philips PW1100 software. Cell refinement: LSUCRE (Appleman, 1995). Data reduction: Xtal3.2 (Hall, Flack & Stewart, 1994). Program(s) used to solve structure: MULTAN80 (Main et al., 1980). Program(s) used to refine structure: Xtal3.2. Molecular graphics: Xtal3.2. Software used, to prepare material for publication: Xtal3.2.

GH is thankful to the Ministry of Science and Education, Spain, for the award of a Post-Doctoral Fellowship.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1201). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

Appleman, D. E. (1995). US Geological Survey, Washington, DC, USA. Unpublished results.

Cradwick, P. D. & Poonia, N. S. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 197-199.

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Fenton, D. E. (1987). Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, Vol. 3, pp. 1–79.
- Hall, S. R., Flack, H. D. & Stewart, J. M. (1994). Editors. *Xtal3.2 Reference Manual*. Universities of Western Australia, Australia, Geneva, Switzerland, and Maryland, USA.
- Hundal, G., Martinez-Ripoll, M., Hundal, M. S. & Poonia, N. S. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1788-1791.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kanters, J. A., Harder, S. & Poonia, N. S. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 1042-1045.
- Kanters, J. A., Smeets, W. J. J., Venkatasubramanian, K. & Poonia, N. S. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1701–1704.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Universities of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Martinez-Ripoll, M. & Cano, F. H. (1975). PESOS. Instituto Rocasolano CSIC, Madrid, Spain.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Poonia, N. S. & Bajaj, A. V. (1979). Chem. Rev. 79, 389-444.
- Voegele, J. C., Fischer, J. & Weiss, R. (1974a). Acta Cryst. B30, 62-65.
- Voegele, J. C., Fischer, J. & Weiss, R. (1974b). Acta Cryst. B30, 66-69.
- Voegele, J. C., Thierry, J. C. & Weiss, R. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 70–75.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 792-795

# $\{Ca(OH_2)_3[Ca(DOTA)].7.7H_2O\}_n$

OREN P. ANDERSON<sup>a</sup> AND JOSEPH H. REIBENSPIES<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA. E-mail: reibenspies@chemvx.tamu.edu

(Received 24 May 1995; accepted 9 November 1995)

#### Abstract

The octadentate ligand H<sub>4</sub>DOTA (H<sub>4</sub>DOTA = 1,4,7,10tetraazacyclododecane-N, N', N'', N'''-tetraacetic acid) forms the most stable calcium complexes known. The overall solid-state structure of the title complex {poly[triaqua- $\mu$ -(1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N, N', N'', N'''-tetraacetato)-dicalcium 7.7-hydrate], [Ca<sub>2</sub>-(C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>].7.7H<sub>2</sub>O} contains two independent eight-coordinate Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions on a twofold crystallographic axis with the Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions bound to four of the eight O atoms [mean Ca—O = 2.45 (3) Å] and the four N atoms [mean Ca—N = 2.59 (2) Å] of the DOTA ligand. The coordination geometry of the Ca atom encapsulated by the DOTA ligand is distorted square antiprismatic. Carboxylate bridges link [Ca(DOTA)]<sup>2-</sup>